THE ROLE OF TERRAIN CHARACTERISTICS IN FLOOD MANAGEMENT, ATTICA, GREECE

Odysseus Manoliadis
Department of Geotechnology and Environmental Engineering, Technological Educational Institute of Western Macedonia, Koila Kozani, Greece.
Constantinos Sachpazis
Geodomisi S.A., Athens, Greece.

ABSTRACT
The purpose of this study is to investigate the role of terrain characteristics in flood management studies in Attica, Greece. Special emphasis is given to the peak storm runoff of the drainage basins in normal and wet conditions related to catastrophic events. Values computed by using the empirical and the Soil Conservation Service methods, refer to extreme values of the maximum probable peak storm runoff that might ever occur with a 50-yr recurrence period. The maximum 24-hr rainfall historical data according to Gumbel were used for the calculation. Terrain characteristics such as topography, land use, condition of soil, and permeability are considered. To illustrate the role of permeability, an example is presented for two basins in Attica, Greece. The study showed that there is a significant change of the curve number values (up to 33 percent) depending on the permeability of the basin. Peak storm runoff showed a 26 percent difference between normal and wet conditions.

CONTACT:
Odysseus Manoliadis
Department of Geotechnology and Environmental Engineering
Technological Education Institute of Western Macedonia
50100 Koila Kozani
Greece
E-mail: omano@tee.gr

INTRODUCTION

Floods of the drainage basins in Attica Greece characterized by their large magnitude need wider understanding for their better flood management. It is observed that high intensity of rains coupled with easy erodibility and permeability of terrain constitute the main natural causes of flood. In certain cases anthropogenic factors like man's interventions in the torrent system including arbitrary construction of buildings and houses have caused unprecedented situation of flood hazards. Deforestration in the hilly areas of the region is also one of the causes of flood.

A national program for Water Resources Management including flood control was launched in 1993. The need of a more sophisticated technique that the empirical methods used have resulted in the introduction of the Soil Conservation Service method in order to:

1. represent terrain characteristics such as permeability
2. study peak storm runoff at normal and wet conditions

METHODOLOGY

The Empirical method

The empirical method recommended in the legislative Law 696/74 is used for the calculation of the peak runoff discharge. For the metric system the peak storm runoff of the hydrographic basins are given by the following equation:

$$Qp = 0,278 \; \varphi \; \rho A \; I \qquad (1)$$

where

A is the area of the drainage basin in Km

I is the maximum height of rainfall in a period equal to the total basin concentration time Tc, in mm/hour

CN is the runoff curve number or Specific runoff coefficient in various humidity conditions, in decimal values

Qp Peak storm runoff in m3/sec

Φ is an empirical coefficient depending on the terrain topography, cover and geological character and

ρ is the rainfall uniformity coefficient ($\rho = E^{-1/12}$)

The SCS method

The method of Soil Conservation Method _S.C.S. 1972,_ is used for the calculation of the peak runoff discharge in this study. For the metric system the peak storm runoff of the hydrographic basins are given by the following equation:

$$Qp = 0,278 \, \rho A \, I \, CN \qquad (1)$$

where

A is the area of the drainage basin in Km

I is the maximum height of rainfall in a period equal to the total basin concentration time T_c, in mm/hour

CN is the runoff curve number or Specific runoff coefficient in various humidity conditions, in decimal values

Qp Peak storm runoff in m3/sec and

ρ is the rainfall uniformity coefficient ($\rho = E^{-1/12}$)

CN Determination

An empirical equation is used for rainfall - runoff relation:

$$Q/P = (P-Q) / S \qquad (2)$$

where:

    P   rainfall height

    Q is the basin discharge

    S maximum storage

hence

$$Q = P^2 / (P + S) \qquad (3)$$

and if the initial losses (Iα) (_Hawkins, 1978; Hjelmfelt 1980a; Hjelmfelt 1980b; Hjelmfelt 1991_) are substituted:

$$Q = (P - I\alpha)^2 / (P + S - I\alpha) \qquad (4)$$

with the assumption (_Cheng-Lung Chen 1982_) Iα = 0,2 S yields:

$$Q = (P - 0,2 \, S)^2 / (P + 0,8 \, S) \qquad (5)$$

S can be used to the Curve Nunber equation:

$$CN = 1000 / [10 + S/25,4)] \qquad (6)$$

We separate soil in three categories according to soil wet or dry conditions (Table II):

TABLE II AMC Values

Condition of soil	Winter period (October – April)	Rest periods (May-September)
AMC I	<12,7	<35,6
AMC II	12,7 - 27,9	35,6-53,3
AMC III	>27,9	>53,3

For different land uses- hydrolithical categories the CN values are presented in Table III.

TABLE III CN values according to *S.C.S. 1972,*

	Land use	Hydrolithological classification			
		HIGHLY PERMEABLE	MODERATELY PERMEABLE	MARGINALY PERMEABLE	IMPERME-ABLE
1	Municipal Land size	84	92	94	95
2	500 m^2	77	85	90	92
3	1.000 m^2	61	75	83	87
4	2.000 m^2	54	70	80	86
5	4.000 m^2	51	68	79	85
6	Industrial	81	88	91	93
7	not covered	77	86	91	94
8	Bushes	35	56	70	77
9	Forest	30	55	70	77
10	Crops	51	67	76	80
11	Fields	49	69	79	84
12	Vineyards	62	71	78	81
13	Cultvated trees	57	73	82	86

The following relationships are used for CN's corresponding to AMC I,II, III according to *Chow 1964* and *Hawkins 1985* .

$$CNI = CNII   / (2,281-0,01281 \; CNII) \qquad (7)$$

$$CNIII   = CNII / (0,427 + 0,00573 \; CNII) \qquad (8)$$

Intensity (I) determination

In order to calculate the rainfall height – rainfall duration and rainfall intensity –rainfall duration curves, the maximum 24 hour rainfall according to Gumbell was used, for

various recurrence periods. The Montana height-duration curve equation was adopted for this application:

$$H = at^b \qquad (9)$$

where H in height of a rainfall duration in time t

b constant usually from 0,33 to 0,50 (here b=0,33)

The intensity is then calculated as a function of duration:

$$I=H/t = H_{hour}\ t^{b-1} \qquad (10)$$

Curves (1) and (2) are straight lines in logarithmic scale.

According to the above mentioned methodology, the intensity (I) of the rainfall is calculated at a duration, t, equal to the total basin concentration time Tc of each basin is given by:

$$Tc = (4\sqrt{A} + 1.5 \times L)/\ 0.80\sqrt{(Ym - Yo)} \qquad (11)$$

where: Tc = concentration time of drainage basin (hours)

A = drainage basin area (km^2)

L = length of drainage basin(km)

Ym = mean altitude of drainage basin(m)

Yo = min altitude of drainage basin(m)

THE STUDY AREA

Drainage basins of Avlon and Spata streams lie on Northern and Central Attica (Greece). They belong geotectonically to the Sub Pelagonic zone and consist of schists and anthracites. The paper deals with the geomorphologic and statistical study of the drainage systems, as well as the calculation of the peak storm in the river exits. The statistical analysis showed that the drainage systems were influenced by lithology. The peak storm runoff that was estimated, based on the land use before and after the disastrous to the forest fire and concerns the extreme values of the maximum probable peak storm runoff, with a 50 years recurrence period. The flood levels of the torrents and streams calculated should be taken seriously into consideration in order to foresee and anticipate the necessary sewage and drainage work systems. The drainage basins of Agia Triada and Skarmaga streams lie in Northern Attica. They are surrounded by Koryfi, Agia Trias, Armenias,

Drompala, Myti and Vounalaki mountains. The city of Avlon lies 2700m downstream of the basin areas. The purpose of this paper is the geomorphological and statistical study as well as the calculation of the peak storm runoff of the above drainage systems before and after the fire in the forest. Since forest consisted a large percentage of the basin area the results of this investigation should be taken into account for urban planning and related drainage works.

In (Table 1) the characteristics of the drainage network are presented of Avlon (B1) and Spata (B2) drainage basins. They belong geotectonically to the Sub Pelagonic zone and consist of schists and anthracites. The drainage network Figure1 presents the channels of the topographical map in HAGS geographical system derived from airphoto-interpretation and field observations.

TABLE 1 Drainage basin characteristics

BASIN	AREA(m2)	Slope (%)	Highest Altitude (m)	Lower Altitude (m)	Length (km)
B1	1869162	28	847	759	1.62
B2	784897	30	195	160	1.41

Figure 1 Drainage basins.

RESULTS

The peak storm runoffs (Qp) were calculated at the exits of the drainage basins. Geometry, basin concentration time and the uniformity coefficient of these drainage basins are used (Table I). The mean annual height of precipitation is 374.5mm based on observations of the meteorological station of Marathon for the observation time-period 1958-1998.Based on the maximum 24 hour rainfalls we estimated, according to Gumbell analysis, the expected rainfall height for a recurrence period of 5, 25, and 50 years as described in Table IV:

Table IV Gumbell analysis for 5, 25 and 50 years

61,1	$< X_5 <$	104,5
72,9	$< X_{10} <$	133,2
87,3	$< X_{25} <$	170,1
104,1	$< X_{50} <$	216,9

The rainfall uniformity coefficient were calculated (Table V) Then, the rainfall uniformity coefficient was calculated.

Table V Characteristics of the drainage basins.

BASIN NAME	RAINFALL UNIFORMITY COEFFICIENT
B1	0,949
B2	0,954

In order to calculate the runoff curve number or the specific runoff coefficient (CN) for every elementary homogeneous part of soil area of the two basins the following analysis was carried out :

- A land use/cover map was drawn using the data of HAGS. The map was completed by field observation. The following categories can be distinguished. 1. Forest. 2. Annual cultivation. 3. Bushy areas. 4. Vineyards. 5. Uncultivated areas and urban areas. The results of the land use/cover are shown classified in Table IV

- A hydrolithologic classification map was drawn. The lithological formations were classified in 4 categories according to the permeability coefficients: 1. permeable formations, 2. moderately permeable formations. 3. low permeable formations and 4. impermeable formations.

- The runoff curve number (CN) was calculated. This determination is a derivative of the land use/cover diagram and the hydrolithologic classification diagram. Data from air photos and satellite photos(*Suyfawara et al 1976*) of the studied area were used.

The diagram has five categories of the runoff curve numbers. For each category a single mean runoff number was used. The runoff curve number (CN) for every drainage basin resulted from the integration of every combination of land use and hydrolithologic classification was calculated by the method of Soil Conservation Method *S.C.S. 1972,* before and after the disastrous fire are presented in Table VI:

The runoff curves of wet and dry periods were calculated by the known equations as the S.C.S. method suggests.

Table VII Maximum probable 24 hour runoff

Drainage basin name	Maximum probable 24 hour runoff (Q) in mm		Maximum probable 24 hour runoff (Q) in m3/24hour	
	Normal conditions	wet conditions	normal conditions	wet conditions
B1	57,12	116,15	106763	217103
B2	27,72	83,19	48919	146813

In order to estimate the maximum peak storm runoff of the two drainage basins of Agia Triada and Skarmaga streams it is necessary to know the mean rainfall intensity (I) of duration equal to the total basin concentration time Tc of each basin which is defined as the maximum rainfall height that happened at time Tc in the basin, with recurrence period of 5, 25 or even 50 years.

Table VIII Correlation of height and intensity of rainfall and the duration of rainfall

Rainfall duration (hours)	Rainfall duration (mm)	Rainfall height (mm)	Rainfall intensity (mm/hour)
0.1	6	22.440	224.403
0.15	9	25.684	171.228
0.2	12	28.266	141.332
0.25	15	30.447	121.788
0.3	18	32.353	107.842
0.4	24	35.605	89.013
0.5	30	38.352	76.704
0.6	36	40.752	67.921
0.7	42	42.889	61.284
0.8	48	44.850	56.062
0.9	54	46.644	51.826
1	60	48.309	48.309
1.5	90	55.293	36.862
2	120	60.852	30.426
2.5	150	65.546	26.218
3	180	69.648	23.216
4	240	76.650	19.163
5	300	82.563	16.513
6	360	87.731	14.622
7	420	92.352	13.193
8	480	96.651	12.069
9	540	100.413	11.157
10	600	103.999	10.400
12	720	110.509	9.209
15	900	119.033	7.936
20	1200	131.000	6.550
24	1440	139.200	5.800
30	1800	149.938	4.998
40	2400	165.012	4.125

The rainfall height-rainfall duration and rainfall intensity – rainfall duration curves according to 24 hour rainfall resulted by the Gumbell method for rainfalls that took place in the area with recurrence period T of 50 years and the rainfall height – rainfall

duration curve H= HhourXt$^{0.333}$ are given in Table VIII. The basin concentration time and rainfall intensity are given in Table IX.

Table IX Concentration time Tc and rainfall intensity

DRANAGE BASIN NAME	Basin concentration time (Tc) in min	Rainfall intensity (i) with a duration equal to the basin concentration time in mm/hour
B1	37	103,9
B2	34	109,9

Finally the peak storm runoff according to the cover/land use was estimated Table X)

Table X Peak storm runoff

Drainage basin name	Area of the basin (m2)	Maximum probable storm runoff (Qp) (m3/s) under normal conditions before/after the fireand recurrence period (50 years)	Maximum probable storm runoff (Qp) (m3/s) under wet conditions before/after the fire and recurrence period (50 years)
B1	1869162	25,36/30,43	36,15/43,48
B2	1764897	19,40/23,13	30,18/36,52

In order to compare the peak storm runoff to the canal capacity the latter was calculated using the Manning formula. The capacity of the existing channels is from 23% to 56% less than the one required therefore enlargement of existing channels is required. Figure 2 and Figure 3 present the proposed works for the Agia Triada and Skarmaga respectively.

CONCLUSIONS

The research conducted was based on SCS methods and empirical models upon experience of the consultants derived from studies in basins nearby. The above mentioned values of storm runoff refer to extreme values of the maximum probable peak storm runoff that might ever happen in the study area with 50 year recurrence period. There is no significant change of the CN values after the disastrous fire merely because the soil condition is highly permeable. The corresponding peak storm runoff resulted in a change of less than 20%.

The flood levels of the streams should be taken seriously into consideration in order to foresee and anticipate the necessary sewage and drainage work systems.

It must be mentioned that the channels of the streams of the area must be enlarged. Also to keep them operational they are to be maintained and cleaned regularly.

TOP UP BOT

REFERENCES

Cheng-Lung, C., 1982: Infiltration Formulas by Curve Number Procedure. J. Hydr. Div. ASCE, 108(7), 823-829.

Chow, V.T., 1964, Handbook of Applied Hydrology, Section 21. Mc Graw-Hill, New York.

Hawkinks, R.H., 1978: Runnoff curve numbers with varying site Moisture. J. Irrig. and Drain. Div., ASCE, 104(4),389-398.

Hawkins, R.H., 1983: Discussion of "Antecedent Moisture Condition Probabilities", by D.D. Gray et J. Irrig. and Drain. Engrg., ASCE 109(2), 298-299.

Hawkins, R.H., Hjelmfelt A.T. and Zevenbergen A.W., 1985. Runoff Probability, Storm depth and curve numbers. J. Irrig. and Drain. Engrg., ASCE, 111 (4), 330-340.

Hjelmfelt, A.T. 1980 b: An empirical investigation of the curve number technique. J. Hydr. Div. ASCE, 106(9), 1471-1476.

Hjelmfelt, A.T. 1991: Investigation of Curve Number Procedure. J. Hydr. Div. ASCE, 117(6), 725-737.

Hjelmfelt, A.T., 1980 a: Curve number Procedure as infiltration Method. J. Hydr. div., ASCE, 106(6), 1107-1110.

Soil Conservation Service, U.S.D.A. 1972: S.C.S National Engineering Handbook, Section Hydrology, Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Washington D.C.

Sugawara, M.E., Ozaki E., Watanabe I. and Katsuyama Y., 1976: On a Method of forecasting the daily discharge of the Mae Nam Chao Phraya and its tributuries at several Points by Means of tank model. Research Notes of the N.R.C.D.P., No. 24, 1-156.

Manoliadis O. and   Sachpazis C, 2003.